	SECTION 131 FORM	File With <u>H. MANNIOU</u> 20/06/22
Appeal NO:_ABP <u>313378</u> ~みみ □	~	Defer Re O/H
TO:SEO		
Having considered the contents of the s from	ubmission dated/received	20 th June '22
John Mc Grane I recomme	end that section 131 of the F	Planning and Development Act, 2000
be/not be invoked at this stage for the fo		les material
E.O.: Karer Hick	en Date:	2016122
To EO:		· · ·
Section 131 not to be invoked at this sta	age.	
Section 131 to be invoked – allow 2/4 w	eeks for reply.	
S.E.O.:	Dat	e:
S.A.O:	Dat	e:
M		
Please prepare BP Sec submission	ction 131 notice enclosing	a copy of the attached
to: Task N	lo:	
Allow 2/3/4weeks – BP		
EO:	Da	ite:
AA:	Da	ite:

			File With	S. 37
	CORRESPO	NDENCE FORM		~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~
A	api 'No: ABP 313378-22			()
M				
P I	ease treat correspondence received on	20th June	27 as	follows:
1	Update database with new agent for Applic	ant/Appellant		
2.	Acknowledge with BP	1. RETURN TO	SENDER with	BP
3.	Keep copy of Board's Letter	2. Keep Envelop	be:	
		3. Keep Copy of	Board's letter	
		2. Keep Envelop	e:	BP

A mendments/Comments				
FROM	John	mc	Grace	Received
	<u></u>		<u> </u>	
				fean John Mc Grare

 4. Attach to file (a) R/S □ (d) Screening □ (b) GIS Processing □ (e) Inspectorate □ (c) Processing □ 	RETURN TO EO J Kaen tricke
---	-------------------------------

		Plans Date Stamped
		Date Stamped Filled in
EO:	Karen Hickey	AA: M. Lanco
Date:		Date: 20- 6-22

Stephen Sutton

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Bord Monday 20 June 2022 14:29 Appeals2 Stephen Sutton FW: c.c. Stephen Sutton

From: Yvonne McGrane <yvonne.mcgrane@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, June 20, 2022 2:23 PM To: paraic@clw.ie Cc: Bord <bord@pleanala.ie> Subject: c.c. Stephen Sutton

Dear Mr Fay

Michael Callan ABP-313378-22 Ref..21/1499

I refer to your letter to Stephen Sutton of Bord Pleanala dated May 17th 2022.

Firstly I would like to confirm that I, John McGrane, stand by my objections to this proposed development, which I still consider to be of great local concern for the reasons stated in my original objection. Nothing you have said in your reply to my appeal has changed my opinion in any way.

Secondly, Rathescar is an area of natural beauty. Rathescar Lake is a popular tourist attraction which is frequented by tourists and locals alike. This lake is only a few fields away from the proposed development. It would be too late to judge the serious negative effects on the surrounding areas when the facility is up and running. Therefore it would be better to err on the side of caution and not allow this poultry factory to be built in the first place.

Yours faithfully

JOHN McGRANE